

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/577,714	Applicant(s) RAO ET AL.	
	Examiner CLINTON BROOKS	Art Unit 1621	

All Participants:

(1) CLINTON BROOKS.

(2) Rodney Carroll.

Date of Interview: 30 September 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None.

Claims discussed:

Claims 1, 17, 18 and 19

Prior art documents discussed:

none.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: We discussed a proposed examiners amendment using "said" mixture, and the limitation "produced in step(b)", further we discussed the support for about 25 degrees C found in at least paragraph [0028] of the specification. Further, we discussed incorporating the limitations of claims 18 and 19 into claims 17..